

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/727,280 12/02/2003		Simon Robert Walmsley	PEA07US	8783		
24011	7590 10/04/3	005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	OOK RESEARCI	LEBRON, JA	LEBRON, JANNELLE M			
393 DARLII BALMAIN,	NG STREET 2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTRALÍ	A		2861			

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

É	L
otin S	ı

		Ар	plication No.		Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Office Action Summary		10	/727,280		ROBERT WALMSLEY ET AL.			
		Ex	aminer		Art Unit			
		Jar	nnelle M. Lebron		2861			
ا Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appears	on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence ac	ddress		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMN In no event, however, by and will expire SIX (in the application to become	MUNICATION may a reply be time 6) MONTHS from thome ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 Decen</i>	nber 2003.		•			
		2b)⊠ This acti			•			
,	nce this application is in condition	, 		I matters, pros	secution as to the	e merits is		
<i>,</i> —	osed in accordance with the pract		· ·					
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
• ::	Of the above claim(s) is/a	· ·	om consideratio	n.				
	aim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)□ CI	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□ CI	aim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ction requiremer	nt.				
Application	Papers							
9)∏ Th	e specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.				-		
, —	e drawing(s) filed on <u>02 Decembe</u>		a)⊠ accepted o	r b) 🗌 objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.		
Ap	oplicant may not request that any obje	ection to the draw	ing(s) be held in a	beyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Re	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	s required if the dr	awing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 Th	e oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exami	ner. Note the att	ached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
3.	·	· -			u iii tiiis Nationai	Stage		
* \$00	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
066	. The attached detailed Office detail	J., 10, a not or th	. commod oopie		. .	·		
Attachment(s)								
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice o	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (er No(s)/Mail Dat	te atent Application (PT	O-152)		
	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o o(s)/Mail Date <u>12/02/2004</u> .	r P10/SB/08)		er:	atent application (FT)	∵ 10 <i>Ej</i>		

Application/Control Number: 10/727,280 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar (US Patent 6,283,572) in view of Silverbrook (US Patent 6,623,106).
- 4. Kumar discloses "a method of accounting for dead nozzle remapping in a multinozzle printhead [column 6, lines 63-66]."
- 5. Thus Kumar meets the claimed limitations except "including remapping a fixative intended for a dot to be printed by the dead nozzle", "wherein the remapping includes

Art Unit: 2861

remapping the fixative to an operative nozzle to which dot data", and "wherein the remapping includes preventing output of fixative onto the position where the dead nozzle would have printed a dot had it been operative."

6. Silverbrook teaches a printhead for an ink jet printer with two rows of nozzles for each color, wherein one of the colors may be a fixative [Table I]. The Silverbrook reference discloses that "a fixative is required for high speed printing applications on plain paper. When a fixative is used it should be printed before any of the other inks are printed to that dot position [column 6, lines 45-49]." If the dot data intended for a dead nozzle is remapped, there is no need for the fixative intended for that data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a method of remapping the fixative along with the dot data intended for the dead nozzle. One would have been motivated to modify Kumar to prevent the waste of fixative as taught by Silverbrook.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jannelle M. Lebron whose telephone number is (571) 272-2729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David M. Gray can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,280 Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JML

DAVID M. GRAY PRIMARY EXAMINER